(2) That irul timber will be given at Rs. 4—8—0 per candy.

(3) Rs. 4—8—0 a candy of irul (i. e. seigniorage plus annas 8 a candy, as per a departmental order then in force).

(4) Rupees four a candy of irul.

(5) Yes.

(6) The rate for irul timber was Rs. 4 a candy and that fixed here is Rs. 4—8—0 a candy. This was from the Southern Divisional Forest Officer and not Senior Divisional Forest Officer.

(7) The timber shall be given if the existing seigniorage rate plus 8 annas a candy was paid.

c. (1) Yes.

(2) The practice in vogue was that the collected timber should be measured at the stumps alone and that no 'attisekharam' could be allowed.

(3) Yes, but not for such collections.

(4) In view of reply above, this does not arise.

d.(1) Yes.

(2) Complaining about the above orders of the Conservator of Forests.

(3) That he was unable to interfere on behalf of the Thampuran as it was reported by the Conservator of Forests that the conditions imposed are those obtaining in the Forest Department in the matter of such sales.

(4) That orders have been issued to the Divisional Forest Officer to return the deposit and that the expenditure said to have been incurred for finding out the timber for felling could not be paid.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—(c) Why 'attisekharam' was not allowed to the Prince when it was allowed to the other contractors?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—The reason is that the practice involved was that timber should be measured at the stumps alone ?

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—How was it allowed to Mr. Luiz ?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—Please read the latter addition, 'but not for such collections'.

Mr. T. A. Ramachandra Ayyar.—What is the nature of the collections for which attisekharam was allowed?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—The two were of different kinds and for the exact difference I want notice.

Furlough and Pension Rules.

489. **Mr. K. T. Mathew.**—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(a) whether the Government have read the article on 'Furlough and Pension Rules of the Cochin State published in the Cochinite', dated 10th January 1931;

(b) whether the Rules regarding furlough allowance in our State are the same as those in British India; and if not,

(c) whether the Government have considered the matter of revising the rules relating to it in our State so as to make them similar to those in British India; and if not,

(d) whether this matter will receive their early attention?

Mr. K. Krishna Pisharodi.—(a) Yes.

(b) No. There are now no furlough Rules in British India.

(c) Yes; the question of revising our Rules on the lines of the British Indian Rules was once considered and dropped.

(d) Vide answer to question (c) above.

Committees.

490. Mr. C. A. Kunjunni Raja.—Will the Government be pleased to lay on the table a list containing the names of the Committees appointed or approved by the Government during the 6 years 1100 to 1105 and also the names of the members forming the Committee?

Rama Varmha Tampuran.—The information so far collected is appended. (*Vide Appendix IV*).

Ernakulam Market.

491. മിസ്റ്റർ. എ. കെ. കുഞ്ഞുമൊയ്തീൻ.—(a) എറണാകുളത്തു വെള്ളിയാഴ്ച ചന്ത മാറ്റി കിട്ടണമെന്നു ഗവമ്മെണ്ടിനു എത്ര അപേക്ഷകൾ കിട്ടീട്ടുണ്ടു;

(b) ഈ അപേക്ഷ സ്വീകരിച്ചു പ്രവൃത്തിക്കാത്തതിന്റെ കാരണമെന്തു;

(c) (1) മുൻസിപ്പൽ ചെയർമാന്റെ അഭിപ്രായമെന്തു;

(2) ചെയർമാൻ മുൻസിപ്പൽ കൌൺസിലിനോടു ആലോചിച്ചിട്ടുണ്ടൊ;

(3) ഉവ്വു് എങ്കിൽ കൌൺസിലിന്റെ തീരുമാനമെന്തു?

രാമവർമ്മ തമ്പുരാൻ.—(a) ഫാർമേടം വനപാലകൻ ഒരു അപേക്ഷ കിട്ടീട്ടുണ്ടു.

(b) ഗുണകരമായിരിക്കുമെന്നു ഗവമ്മെണ്ടിനു തോന്നാഞ്ഞതുകൊണ്ടു.

(c) (1) മുൻസിപ്പൽ ചെയർമാന്റെ അഭിപ്രായമനുകൂലമല്ല.

(2) ഇല്ല

(3) മറുപടിക്കാവശ്യമില്ല.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly